

REMARKS

Summary of the Amendment

Upon entry of the present Amendment, Claims 7, 9, 11, 13, and 17 will have been amended and Claims 1-6 will have been canceled. Accordingly, Claims 7-17 remain pending in the present application. By the present Amendment and Remarks, Applicant submits that the rejections and objections have been overcome, and respectfully requests reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action and allowance of the present application.

Summary of the Office Action

In the subject Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings, objected to the claims, and rejected Claims 1-6. Also, the Examiner indicated allowable subject matter for Claims 7-17.

Objections to Drawings

The Examiner objected to Fig. 1, suggesting that applicant label elements as referenced in the specification. Applicant has reviewed Fig. 1 and the specification and found Fig. 1 to be properly labeled as referenced in the specification. On March 12, 2007, Applicant spoke with Supervising Patent Examiner Muhammed Ghayour regarding the objection. Mr. Ghayour reviewed the objection and Fig. 1 and indicated that Fig. 1 to be properly labeled. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the aforementioned objection to the drawings.

Objections to the Claims

The Examiner objected to Claim 1 for informalities. Since this claim has been canceled, this objection is now moot. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the aforementioned objection to the claim.

Traversal of Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of Claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyers. In an effort to further prosecution, Applicant has canceled Claims 1-6 without prejudice. As such, Applicant submits that the rejection with respect to these claims is now moot. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant gratefully acknowledges allowance of Claims 7-17. Applicant has made minor amendments to Claims 7, 9, 11, 13, and 17, amending the term “main control unit” to “main processing unit.” Such amendments are supported by the specification and drawings, and no new matter had been added.

Application is Allowable

Applicant respectfully submits that each and every pending claim on the present invention meets the requirements for patentability and respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate allowance of such claims.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that none of the references of record anticipate or render obvious the Applicant's invention as recited in Claims 7-17. The applied reference of record has been discussed and distinguished, while the significant claimed features of the present invention have been pointed out.

Further, any amendments to the claims which have been made in this response and which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 502456.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/12/2007

/Jason Truong/
Jason Van Truong
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 53,704

CANON U.S.A., INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
15975 Alton Parkway
Irvine, CA 92618-3731
Tel: (949) 932-3145
Fax: (949) 932-3560